EXHIBIT 4

Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1229-4 Filed 11/17/21 Page 2 of 3

taylor english

Taylor English Duma LLP 1600 Parkwood Circle, Suite 200, Atlanta, Georgia 30339 Main: 770.434.6868 Fax: 770.434.7376 taylorenglish.com

Bryan P. Tyson Phone: (678) 336-7249 Email: btyson@taylorenglish.com

November 11, 2021

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Adam M. Sparks, Esq.
Halsey G. Knapp, Jr., Esq.
Krevolin & Horst, LLC
One Atlantic Center, Suite 3250
1201 W. Peachtree Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30309
sparks@khlawfirm.com
hknapp@khlawfirm.com

David Cross, Esq.
Lyle F. Hedgecock, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1888
dcross@mofo.com
lhedgecock@mofo.com

Re: *Donna Curling, et al. v. Brad Raffensperger, et al.*, No. 1:17-cv-2989-AT Production of privileged Fortalice documents

Dear Adam and David:

As we have previously indicated to you, the State Defendants object to the release of the documents identified in the Fortalice privilege log designated as state secrets. The documents are highly confidential and reveal the very architecture of the State of Georgia's election system. Recognizing the fundamental importance of elections security, the United States Department of Homeland Security designated elections infrastructure as "Critical Infrastructure," a designation given to "systems and assets . . . so vital to the United States that [their] incapacity or destruction . . . would have a debilitating impact on security, national economic security, national public health or safety, or any combination of those matters." 42 U.S.C. § 5195c(e); see also, Zoltek Corp. v. United States, 61 Fed. Cl. 12, 15-16 (2004) (classified information about B-2 Bomber even though relevant to the plaintiff's claims were protected from disclosure). Similarly, Georgia courts have held that releasing even portions of the GEMS database including "passwords, encryption codes and other security information" would "compromise election security". Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574, 577 (2007) (enjoining the release of such information in response to a request under the Georgia Open Records Act). Detailed information pertaining to the entirety of the Georgia election system as documented in the privileged Fortalice documents and materials is clearly protected state secrets and prohibited from disclosure under federal and state law. The State Defendants also object to the production of the privileged Fortalice materials on the ground that the materials include the entirety of the Secretary's information

Adam M. Sparks, Esq. David Cross, Esq. November 11, 2021 Page 2

technology infrastructure and data centers pertaining to operations and functions of the Secretary's office unrelated to elections.

In light of the highly sensitive nature of the materials and the relative and significant security concerns at stake, disclosure of the materials is not proportional to the needs of the case and is beyond the scope of discovery under the Rule 26.

Sincerely,

Bryan P. Tyson

For TAYLOR ENGLISH DUMA LLP

cc: All counsel of record (by email)